

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: IKOMA et al.

Serial No.: Rule 53(b) of 09/299,644

Filed: June 26, 2003

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR USE IN DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING ENVIRONMENT FOR CONVERTING DATA FORMAT BETWEEN PROGRAM LANGUAGE-SPECIFIC FORMAT USED IN RESPECTIVE COMPUTERS AND STREAM FORMAT USED FOR COMMUNICATION AMONG COMPUTERS

Art Unit: Unassigned (previously 2151 in parent application)

Examiner: Unassigned (previously D. Cao in parent application)

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT -
LISTING ART FROM PRIOR APPLICATION(S)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

June 26, 2003

Sir:

Attention is directed to MPEP §904 which states that, "In all continuing applications, the parent applications should be reviewed by the examiner for pertinent prior art.", and further states that the fact of review "...should be made of record in accordance with the procedure set forth ...[in] ...MPEP §717.05." Applicant respectfully notes that the present application is related to prior application Serial No. 09/299,644 filed on April 27, 1999.

Further, attention is directed to MPEP §609 which states that a list of the information (e.g., prior art) which was cited or submitted in a parent application "...need not be submitted in the continuing application unless the applicant desires

the information to be printed on the patent." As Applicant desires that all prior art information from the prior application(s) be printed on any patent from the present application, enclosed herewith, for Examiner initialing, is an appropriate number of Form PTO-1449s listing art cited in such prior application(s). In addition to the submitted PTO-1449s, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner perform independent review of the prior application(s) and utilize any necessary Form PTO-892s to ensure that all known prior application art is considered and listed on any patent from the present application.

No copies of such art are being provided herewith in that 37 CFR §1.98(d) states, "A copy of any patent, publication or other information listed in an information disclosure statement is not required to be provided if it was previously cited by or submitted to the Office in a prior application, provided that the prior application is properly identified in the statement and relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 USC §120, i.e., it is respectfully submitted: that pertinent prior application(s) have been properly identified above; that such art was previously cited or submitted (and thus is available) in such prior application(s); and that such prior application(s) are relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 USC §120.

Pursuant to 37 CFR §1.97(b)(1) and (3), this Information Disclosure Statement is being submitted within three months of the filing date of the above-identified national application or before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits. Accordingly, no fee is believed necessary.

Please charge any shortage in the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (referencing

Case No. 500.37167CX1) and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,



Frederick D. Bailey
Registration No. 42,283
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP

FDB/pay
(703) 312-6600

Attachments:
Form PTO-1449

FORM PTO-1449 U.S. Department of Commerce (Rev. 4/92) Patent and Trademark Office		ATTY. DOCKET NO. 500.37167CX1	SERIAL NO. 09/299,644
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT		APPLICANT CAO, et al.	
(Use several sheets if necessary)		FILING DATE June 26, 2003	GROUP Unassigned

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

EXAMINER **DATE CONSIDERED**

EXAMINER: Initial if citation is considered, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.